

Can nano-particle melt below the melting temperature of its free surface partner?

Xiao-Hong Sui and Shaojing Qin

*State Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

Zongguo Wang

*Computer Network Information Center,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

Kai Kang

*Science and Technology on Surface Physics and Chemistry Laboratory,
P.O. Box 718-35, Mianyang 621907, Sichuan, China*

Chulin Wang

*China Center of Advanced Science and Technology,
P. O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China*

(Dated: January 22, 2015)

Abstract

The phonon thermal contribution to the melting temperature of nanoparticles is inspected. The discrete summation of phonon states and its corresponding integration form as an approximation for a nanoparticle or for a bulk system have been analyzed. The discrete phonon energy levels of pure size effect and the wave-vector shifts of boundary conditions are investigated in detail. Unlike in macroscopic thermodynamics, the integration volume of zero-mode of phonon for a nanoparticle is not zero, and it plays an important role in pure size effect and boundary condition effect. We find that a nanoparticle will have a rising melting temperature due to purely finite size effect; a lower melting temperature bound exists for a nanoparticle in various environments, and the melting temperature of a nanoparticle with free boundary condition reaches this lower bound. We suggest an easy procedure to estimation the melting temperature, in which the zero-mode contribution will be excluded, and only several bulk quantities will be used as input. We would like to emphasize that the quantum effect of discrete energy levels in nanoparticles, which was not present in early thermodynamics studies on finite size corrections to melting temperature in small systems, should be included in future researches.

PACS numbers: 65.80.+n, 63.22.Kn, 81.70.Pg

Keywords: nano-particle, quantum size effect, boundary condition effect, size-dependent melting

I. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of melting temperature might initially seem to be an area since prehistoric times, but upon reflection it is clear that this topic is still active for this new material age. Despite the great strides forward that have been made in nano technology, the demand for understanding and prediction on properties of nano-materials continues. Can nanoparticles melt at a temperature below the one their freestanding partners melt? Such a question is raised to powder ink for the new “3D printing” technology. It is a general question on how much melting temperature can be changed by surface modification or environment variation for a nano particle, whether the melting temperature of a freestanding nanoparticle can be depressed even further by surface modification, and what the lower bound of the temperature decreasing is in these changes on boundary conditions of the nano particle. This work is intended to study physics of the size dependent melting temperature and the lower limit of the change on melting temperature. It is an absorbing subject for decades since it leads to new materials with applications¹⁻⁶.

Researchers were called upon to present thermodynamics analysis⁷⁻⁹ for nanoparticles’ melting temperatures^{10,11}. The nanoparticle melting temperature T_{mn} and the bulk melting temperature T_{mb} have a linear relation^{7,12}:

$$T_{mn}/T_{mb} = 1 - A/D, \quad (1)$$

where D is the nanoparticle diameter and A is a constant different for different materials. To understand this linear relation and to find out the constant A , several others analyzed the surface-phonon instability¹³⁻¹⁶; some others modeled the nanoparticle as a liquid drop^{17,18}, or the racks of chemical bonds^{19,20}. These investigations started from a rational scenario of the melting, but not yet lead to a converged expression for constant A in simple physical quantities.

In the following sections, we use the Lindemann melting criterion^{13,21,22} to calculate the melting temperature of a nanoparticle with different boundary conditions. We study the constant A with the bulk physical quantities and the shift of the phonon momentum on boundary of nanoparticles. A careful inspection shows that the constant A is determined by the depletion of the zero-mode volume of phonon, and the additional volume from phonon wave-vectors with some zero components. We suggest a simple procedure to estimate the size dependent decreasing of melting temperature of nano-particles in certain environment,

in which the constant A is estimated with the sound velocity and several other physical quantities.

II. MELTING TEMPERATURE

We can give the melting temperature of a three-dimensional lattice by the Lindemann criterion²¹. When the ratio of u , the square root of the mean square of atom thermal displacement, to a the lattice constant reaches the Lindemann critical value L_c at a temperature, the material melts. The corresponding temperature is the melting temperature T_m . There are several variations⁵ for the Lindemann criterion and some of criticism on the lacking of a liquid phase picture behind this criterion^{23,24}. In this work, we use the original criterion to make the estimation simple:

$$L_c = \frac{u(T_m)}{a}. \quad (2)$$

We give the derivation of $u(T)$ in harmonic approximation next. Some details will be introduced in order to give a better background for discussions in later sections. We consider a lattice specified by a set of the vectors $\mathbf{R}_i$ that locate points, one atom at each lattice point. The displacement of an atom from its equilibrium position $\mathbf{R}_i$ is denoted by $\mathbf{u}_i$. Within the harmonic approximation, the Hamiltonian of the system is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= T + \Phi \\ &\approx \frac{M}{2} \sum_{i\alpha} \dot{u}_{i\alpha}^2 + \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij\alpha\beta} \Phi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{R}_j) u_{i\alpha} u_{j\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. N is the number of atoms of the nanoparticle. $\alpha = x, y, z$ and $u_{i\alpha}$ is the α th component of the displacement. M is the mass of the atom. Φ_0 is the constant potential energy when all the atoms are in their equilibrium positions, and $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{R}_j) = (\partial^2 \Phi / \partial u_{i\alpha} \partial u_{j\beta})_0$.

For a lattice with translational symmetry, the general solution can be represented by canonical coordinates $Q_{k\sigma}$ — the vibration modes:

$$u_{i\alpha}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{NM}} \sum_{k\sigma} Q_{k\sigma} e_{k\sigma\alpha} e^{i[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i - \omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})t]}. \quad (4)$$

$\omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{e}_{k\sigma}$ are vibration frequency and polarization of vibration mode $\mathbf{k}$, respectively.

The Hamiltonian in canonical coordinates is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\sigma} [P_{k\sigma}^* P_{k\sigma} + \omega_\sigma^2(\mathbf{k}) Q_{k\sigma}^* Q_{k\sigma}], \quad (5)$$

where $P_{k\sigma}$ are the canonical momentums. When quantized in quantum physics, these vibration modes are called also phonon modes. The function $\hbar\omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})$ is phonon dispersion, and $\mathbf{k}$ phonon wave-vector.

The atomic mean-squared thermal vibration amplitude is²⁵

$$\langle u_{i\alpha}^2 \rangle = \sum_{k\sigma} \frac{\hbar e_{k\sigma\alpha}^2}{NM\omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})} \left[\frac{1}{e^{\frac{\hbar\omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})}{k_B T}} - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (6)$$

where $\langle \rangle$ means grand canonical ensemble average. The square root of the mean square of atom thermal displacement is given :

$$\begin{aligned} u(T) &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i\alpha} \langle u_{i\alpha}^2 \rangle} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2NM} \sum_{k\sigma} \frac{1}{\omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) \tanh[\frac{\hbar\omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})}{2k_B T}]} }. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Melting temperature T_m is obtained by solving Eq. (2).

Of course, for a real lattice with a complex cell, one can calculate $u(T)$ by the exact phonon modes, then estimates the melting temperature T_m by Eq. (2). Since low energy acoustic phonons dominate the thermal fluctuation for melting¹³, one reasonable analysis for different lattices can be given. We will return to discussion on this point when we show some example analysis for simple lattices.

It is well known that nanoparticles usually possess a lower melting point than the bulk counterparts for their large surface-volume ratio²⁶. More dominated surface effects on melting transition are expected in nanoparticles^{27,28}, and there are studies on surface premelting nanoparticles^{15,29,30}. We will investigate the decreasing of melting temperature and the lower limit of it in present study. We use boundary conditions to account for various environments. In next section, we analyze simple ideal periodic boundary condition (PBC) and free boundary condition (FBC) for simple cubic nanoparticles. The ideal boundary conditions are illustrated in Fig. 1 and defined in following sections. Melting temperature rising due to pure size-effect will be uncovered. The limit of the melting temperature decreasing will be also given.




FIG. 1: An illustration of phonon modes and boundary conditions in one-dimension. The vertical black lines indicate where the boundary is. The black dotted particle atoms are positioned inside the boundary. The open circled imaginary atoms are positioned outside the boundary. The typical atom displacement patterns are plotted for periodic boundary condition (PBC) on the top and for free boundary condition (FBC) at the bottom.

III. PBC FINITE SIZE NANOPARTICLE

PBC is illustrated in Fig. 1. A nanoparticle of a number dimension L with a simple cubic lattice and PBC has $N = L^3$ atoms in total. It is of size L and has L atoms in each α -direction. The polarization direction can be set to the coordinate direction. The wave-vector $\mathbf{k}$ of the phonon mode $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i - i\omega t}$ is given by:

$$(k_x, k_y, k_z) = \frac{2\pi}{La}(n_x, n_y, n_z), \quad (8)$$

where the integer n_α runs from $-L/2 + 1$ to $L/2$, and a is the lattice constant. The square root of the mean square of atom thermal displacement is then given by Eq. (7).

The summation in Eq. (7) excludes the $k = 0$ mode, since this zero-mode corresponds to the global moving of the whole nanoparticle in the three-directions in three dimensional space. Significant consequences can follow when we exclude zero-mode obviously in equations for finite size nanoparticles. With this observation, we calculate the melting temperature T_{mn} for size L nanoparticle by solving Eq. (2):

$$\begin{aligned} L_c^2 &= \frac{u^2}{a^2} \\ &= \frac{3\hbar a}{16\pi^3 M} \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} d^3k \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k}) \tanh[\frac{\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})}{2k_B T_{mn}}]} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$- \frac{3\hbar a}{16\pi^3 M} \int_{-\pi/La}^{\pi/La} d^3k \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k}) \tanh[\frac{\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})}{2k_B T_{mn}}]},$$

where $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})$ is used when summing up the three polarized vibration directions in each $\mathbf{k}$ mode. The exact magnitude of L_c is not required in our qualitative estimation of melting temperature.

This transformation for point to box integration is accurate up to $2\pi/La$, up to the order of $1/L$ to recover Eq. (1). Each mode, (n_x, n_y, n_z) , in discrete summation in Eq. (7) is transformed into a box integration $(\frac{La}{2\pi})^3 \int_{-\pi/La}^{\pi/La} dk_x dk_y dk_z$ centered at point $(k_x, k_y, k_z) = \frac{2\pi}{La}(n_x, n_y, n_z)$. Stacking all these boxes together in order of their center points $\mathbf{k}$, we have the integration. On each α -direction the discrete point $k_\alpha = 2n_\alpha\pi/La$ runs from $n_\alpha = -L/2 + 1$ to $L/2$. Then the integration interval is $[-\pi/a, \pi/a]$ for each integration variable k_α . The first term in Eq. (9) is a full first Brillouin zone(BZ) integration, and the $k = 0$ box is included. The second term is the $k = 0$ box, but it is a box to be taken away. So the $k = 0$ mode is excluded. This $k = 0$ integration box is named as the zero-mode volume in this study.

For the bulk system, melting temperature T_{mb} is the solution of the same equation but for $(\pi/La) \rightarrow 0$, which turns the second term into zero in the above integration. The deduction volume of zero-mode is zero, and only one term is left in the equation to determine T_{mb} :

$$L_c^2 = \frac{3\hbar a}{16\pi^3 M} \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} d^3k \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k}) \tanh[\frac{\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})}{2k_B T_{mb}}]}. \quad (10)$$

The zero-mode deduction part in Eq. (9) in small $1/L$ limit is approximately $\frac{15.35}{L} \frac{3k_B T_{mn}}{8\pi^3 M v^2}$, up to the order of $1/L$. Here we used the relation of the dispersion of acoustic phonon with the acoustic velocity v in small wave-vector limit: $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = vk$. A simple analysis on Eq. (9) concludes the melting temperature T_{mn} rising when PBC nanoparticle size L decreases.

This conclusion holds beyond the simple lattice model used in above analysis. Taking the phonon modes of any lattice, the mode summation in Eq. (7) excludes the $k = 0$ zero-mode, which results in a zero-mode cavity in first BZ integration. The relation $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = vk$ holds not only for simple cubic lattice, but for general situation and for anisotropic materials in a more complex form. By the simple lattice model study in above, we show in the same time that these two steps are sufficient to conclude an increasing size dependent melting temperature for nanoparticles subjecting PBC.

This conclusion is contrary to overly repeated words on how the melting temperature decreases when the nanoparticle size decreases. Let us briefly picture the microscopic physics here. As the size L decreases, the missed volume of zero-mode $(2\pi/La)^3$ increases, fewer low energy modes contribute to thermal fluctuations of atoms, and therefore a higher temperature is required to move atoms around and to melt the nanoparticle. PBC is an idea simple boundary condition but rarely available in experiments. For PBC the phonon sees no scattering on nanoparticle surface, it has only the effect from the discreteness of its spectrum. Without the effect of nanoparticle surface, the increase of size-dependent melting point is a purely finite size effect. We provide additional boundary effect with another simple boundary condition in next section.

IV. FBC FINITE SIZE NANOPARTICLE

Let us change the boundary condition of the PBC nano particle in previous section into free boundary condition (FBC). The wave-vector $\mathbf{k}$ for this boundary condition is

$$(k_x, k_y, k_z) = \frac{\pi}{La}(n_x, n_y, n_z), \quad (11)$$

with the integer n_α runs from 0 to $L - 1$. These wave-vectors are the result of the mode scattering on the particle surface. FBC is illustrated in Fig. 1. In the illustration, we show that the boundary atom will experience no external force from outside of the boundary, since the displacement of the atom on the other side of the boundary is the same. The mode expansion for atom displacement in Eq. (4) for PBC is changed to the following for FBC:

$$u_{i\alpha}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{8}{NM}} \sum_{k\sigma} Q_{k\sigma} e_{k\sigma\alpha} e^{-i\omega_\sigma(\mathbf{k})t} \prod_{\alpha'} \cos[k_{\alpha'}(R_{i\alpha'} - a/2)], \quad (12)$$

where on each direction we label $R_{i\alpha}$ by numbers from 1 to L .

Each mode, (n_x, n_y, n_z) , in discrete summation is transformed into a box integration $(\frac{La}{\pi})^3 \int_{-\pi/2La}^{\pi/2La} dk_x dk_y dk_z$ centered at point $(k_x, k_y, k_z) = \frac{\pi}{La}(n_x, n_y, n_z)$. Equation of melting temperature for FBC is:

$$L_c^2 = \frac{3\hbar a}{2\pi^3 M} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2La}}^{\frac{\pi}{a} - \frac{\pi}{2La}} d^3k \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k}) \tanh[\frac{\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})}{2k_B T_{mn}}]} - \frac{3\hbar a}{2\pi^3 M} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2La}}^{\frac{\pi}{2La}} d^3k \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k}) \tanh[\frac{\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})}{2k_B T_{mn}}]}. \quad (13)$$

The second term removes the $k = 0$ box included in the first term. The zero-mode volume removing in the second term is the same as PBC case. However, FBC has additional volume in low energy phonon integration: $8 \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2La}}^{\frac{\pi}{a}-\frac{\pi}{2La}} d^3k$ will recover the full first BZ integration and integrations on additional volumes.

The first term in above equation integrates on a volume bigger than the full first BZ volume. In above equation the lower bound for the first integration is neither $-\pi/a$ nor 0, but a point $\frac{-\pi}{2La}$ shifted below zero. On each α -direction the discrete point $k_\alpha = n_\alpha\pi/La$ runs from $n_\alpha = 0$ to $L - 1$ for FBC. Then the integration interval is $[-\pi/2La, \pi/a - \pi/2La]$ for each integration variable k_α . If $\pi/2La$ for FBC is zero in the first integration in above, the first term will be equal to an integration over first BZ, and be equal to the first term for PBC. We see additional integration volumes for FBC. These additional integration volumes come from discrete modes with one or two n_α being zero, come from the transformation of the sum of discrete points to the integration for the stack of boxes.

One step further in this simple analysis, the additional volume will give nonzero terms of order $\pi/2La$. Since the above FBC equation returns to Eq. (10) for bulk material in $\pi/2La \rightarrow 0$, in the same microscopic physics pictured in PBC section, more low energy phonon contribute to atom displacement in finite size FBC nanoparticle than in bulk material. At a lower temperature, the thermal phonon population is big enough, the critical fluctuation magnitude of atom displacement has been reached, and nanoparticle melts. So the melting temperature decreases as a finite size effect.

The melting temperature is bounded below for a particle with certain fixed size, bounded below by the melting temperature for FBC. A model with boundary is good for embedded and deposited nano particles. Surface melting and reconstruction are also modeled as certain boundary. We analysis the limit of the shift of the low energy phonon mode towards the zero wave-vector for different boundary conditions. Let us arrange the wave-vector $k_\alpha = \frac{2\pi n_\alpha}{La}$ into two different groups: the first group for the integer n_α running from 0 to $L/2 - 1$, with mode expansion $\cos[k_\alpha(R_{i\alpha} - (L+1)a/2)]$; the second group for for the n_α runs from 1 to $L/2$ with mode expansion $\sin[k_\alpha(R_{i\alpha} - (L+1)a/2)]$. Inside the first group, wave-vector k_α in each direction starts from 0, and the low energy phonon density can not be increased anymore. It is not possible to lower the melting temperature by a boundary condition shifting these wave-vectors upward. Inside the second group, we can shift the k_α down towards zero by appropriate boundary conditions. In scattering theory, the range of the shift of k_α for low

energy phonon in the second group is $[-\frac{\pi}{La}, 0]$. When the shift is zero, it is for PBC, and the shift $-\frac{\pi}{La}$ is for FBC. So the low energy phonon modes shift the most for FBC, the low energy phonon modes density increased most for FBC, and the melting temperature decreases the most for FBC. Therefore the melting temperature for size L nanoparticle can not be below the one for FBC. This lower bound set by the ideal FBC is close to the melting temperature for freestanding nano particles in many experiments in real situation.

The discussion on lower limit of melting temperature is not applicable when the size L is too small, or $1/L$ is too big. The analysis in integration functions suggests a smooth scaling of melting temperature with nanoparticle size with different boundary conditions. This is true for large nanoparticles^{10,12,31}. The analysis is qualitatively right if the low energy phonon dispersion is almost kept while the high energy phonon energy shifted^{13,32,33}. When surface atoms are too many or $1/L$ increases to a certain value²⁶, we enter the clusters' region. In cluster region the reconstruction of surface moves the atoms on the nanoparticle surface out of the model boundary, leaves only a few atoms inside. The perturbation analysis with a new boundary condition will not help much. At the size scale of clusters, usually atoms are positioned far from the lattice of the bulk material, and the number of atoms subjects to magic numbers, and properties fluctuate along with size³⁴. The best investigating method is the Ab initio computation case by case³⁵. The limit of the melting temperature depression for clusters of certain fixed size is a difficult open question.

V. QUALITATIVE ESTIMATION AND PREDICTION METHODS

When an almost linear result from an experiment is available, a fitting to the points of $1/L$ and T_{mn}/T_{mb} pairs will give the slope A in Eq. (1) for size dependent melting. A fitting with one more term quadratic in Eq. (1) can reduce the error of fitting to 1 K³⁶. Any physical understanding can suggest a math expression for A . Comparing the expression value and the data fitting value, we find more physics about the nanoparticle than the experimental data. An expression is even more powerful. In interesting nanoparticle designs³⁷, an expression full of physics will suggest certain bulk materials be the parent materials, certain kinds of coating be used to adjust the unfavorable environment.

Thermodynamics of small systems³⁸ is well understood in 50 years ago. Thermodynamics related expressions for constant A have not converged to physically equivalent ones yet. For

any analytical study captured the main physics behind the finite size melting phenomena, the size and the surface, its expression does agree with other similar ones reasonably good^{3,42}. However, zero-mode is the key for phase transition in statistical physics. For PBC there is no effect from boundary condition. The discreteness of phonon spectrum is a pure size effect, which results in a small but finite zero-mode volume, and it raises the melting temperature of a nanoparticle with a boundary condition close to PBC. Any analytical study failed to display this increase in melting temperature for PBC like boundary conditions is not correct on quantum effect of finite size nanoparticle. Up to now zero-mode volume has not been exactly excluded in studies⁴¹. We suggest the exclusion of the zero-mode volume, a reflection of the discrete levels in final expression, and the physical equivalence of estimation expressions be considered more by researchers in the future.

From the phonon zero-mode we inspected in previous sections, comes a simple procedure to estimate the melting temperature for almost freestanding nanoparticles. High quality phonon dispersion can be calculated^{39,40} for any particular nano material. A simple estimation for the melting temperature is not the method we point out just after Eq. (7) in Section II. With that much effort, one will obtain a rather accurate prediction depending on details of the dispersion. Instead, we suggest a simple estimation procedure which uses $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = vk$ for all modes in first BZ. A universal computer program can be coded. A melting temperature T_{mn} can be generated by the program in seconds when bulk quantities are input. This can be a try before any kind of accurate simulation or calculation started for a nanoparticle design.

We give an example of this procedure for a parent material of simple cubic lattice and melting temperature T_{mb} . A constant given by bulk properties will be used: $C = \frac{\hbar v}{2ak_B}$. For a nanoparticle of size L , the equation to estimate melting temperature T_{np} for PBC is given by Eq. (9) and Eq. (10):

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{mb} & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^3k}{k} \frac{C/T_{mb}}{\tanh[Ck/T_{mb}]} \\
& = T_{np} \left[\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\frac{C}{T_{np}} d^3k}{k \tanh \frac{Ck}{T_{np}}} - \frac{1}{L} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\frac{C}{LT_{np}} d^3k}{k \tanh[\frac{C}{LT_{np}}k]} \right] \\
& \approx T_{np} \left[\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d^3k}{k} \frac{\frac{C}{T_{np}}}{\tanh \frac{Ck}{T_{np}}} - \frac{15.35}{L} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The term in the form of $x/\tanh(xk)$ is prepared for numerical integration. A similar equation




FIG. 2: (Color online) The size dependent melting temperature for nanoparticles with PBC and FBC. The lines and points in the figure are T_{mn}/T_{mb} for PBC and FBC. A $T_{mn}/T_{mb} = 1$ guiding line, and guiding lines simply connecting the points are presented. Fittings for the points are $1 + 1.14/L + 1.44/L^2$ for PBC, and $1 - 7.21/L + 52.78/L^2$ for FBC.

can be obtained for melting temperature T_{nf} for FBC. In this way, we need lattice constant a and sound speed v of the bulk material. We do not need to calculate the dispersion $\omega(\mathbf{k})$ for the nanoparticle in this procedure. When a sound speed can not be found on data bank of bulk materials, the Young's modulus Y and density ρ can be easily found and used: $v = \sqrt{Y/\rho}$. An almost freestanding nanoparticle will have its melting temperature in between T_{nf} and T_{np} . Its melting temperature will decrease from above to close to T_{nf} if its boundary condition is close to FBC.

At the end of this study, we support our analysis on zero-mode volume by a numerical calculation¹³ on the size-dependent melting of PBC and FBC. The particles are of simple cubic lattice, with nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor interaction in Hamiltonian Eq. (3). We numerically calculate the phonon dispersion $\omega(\mathbf{k})$ for this simple model¹³, and obtain the melting temperature by Eq. (2) and (7) displayed in Section II. In Fig. 2 we plot the calculated T_{mn} for nanoparticles with the two boundary conditions and four different sizes: $L = 20, 30, 60, 500$. It should be reminded that L is the size of a nanoparticle. L is an alias for D in Eq. (1).

It is shown in Fig. 2 that under PBC the melting temperature increases with the decrease of size, while under FBC, it is the contrary. One of the important physical points of this work is successfully tested by the numerical calculation: zero-mode volume depletion is

important to melting of nano particles, just as the role of the zero-mode on phase transition in statistical physics.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We investigated in this paper the melting temperatures for nanoparticles with ideal boundary conditions: periodic and free. These examples indicate the scenario of melting: the boundary condition effect can be separated from pure size effect. Pure finite size effect, without any boundary to shift the phonon wave-vectors, can be accounted by periodic boundary conditions and raises melting temperature of a nanoparticle as its size decreases. Boundary conditions play the second important role in melting temperature design. We find that the missing of phonon contribution from zero-mode volume will raise melting temperature, and additional phonon contribution from wave-vector with some zero components will depress melting temperature. The melting temperature for the free boundary condition gives the lower bound for the melting temperature of a nano particle in all kinds of environment.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Sen Zhou and visitors to KITPC for discussions. This work was supported by NNSF1121403 of China.

-
- ¹ S. C. Tjong and H. Chen, Mater. Sci. Eng. R **45**, 1 (2004).
 - ² M. Zhang, M. Y. Efremov, F. Schiettekatte, E. A. Olson, A. T. Kwan, S. L. Lai, T. Wisleder, J. E. Greene, and L. H. Allen, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 10548 (2000).
 - ³ M. Zhao and Q. Jiang, Solid State Commun **130**, 37 (2004).
 - ⁴ U. Tartaglino, T. Zykova-Timan, F. Ercolessi, and E. Tosatti, Physics Reports **411**, 291-321 (2005).
 - ⁵ R. S. Berry, T. L. Beck, H. L. Davis, and J. Jellinek, *Evolution of Size Effects in Chemical Dynamics*, Wiley, New York. **70**, 75 (1988).
 - ⁶ Edited by T. Sugimoto, *Fine Particles: Synthesis, Characterization, and Mechanisms of Growth*. Marcel Dekker, New York. **92**, 738 (2000).

- ⁷ P. Z. Pawlow, Phys. Chem **65**, 1 (1909).
- ⁸ C. R. M. Wronski, Brit. J. Appl. Phys **18**, 1731 (1967).
- ⁹ L. H. Liang, C. M. Shen, S. X. Du, W. M. Liu, X. C. Xie, and H. J. Gao, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 205419 (2004).
- ¹⁰ M. Takagi, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **9**, 359 (1954).
- ¹¹ M. A. Shandiz, A. Safaei, S. Sanjabi, and Z. H. Barber, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **68**, 1396-1399 (2007).
- ¹² Ph. Buffat and J-P. Borel, Phys. Rev. A **13**, 2287 (1976).
- ¹³ K. Kang, S. J. Qin and C. L. Wang, Physica E **41**, 817 (2009).
- ¹⁴ M. Mardaani, H. Rabani, and M. Keshavarz, Iranian Journal of Physics Research **11**, 287 (2011).
- ¹⁵ H. A. Alarifi, M. Atis, C. Ozdogan, A. Hu, M. Yavuz, and Y. Zhou, J. Phys. Chem. C **117**, 12289 (2013).
- ¹⁶ X. Y. Yang and X. J. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. D **66**, 128 (2012).
- ¹⁷ K. K. Nanda, S. N. Sahu, and S. N. Behera, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 013208 (2002).
- ¹⁸ K. K. Nanda, Chem. Phys. Lett **419**, 195 (2006).
- ¹⁹ W. H. Qi and M. P. Wang, Mater. Chem. Phys **88**, 280 (2004).
- ²⁰ C. Q. Sun, Y. Shi, C. M. Li, S. Li, and T. C. Au Yeung, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 075408 (2006).
- ²¹ F. A. Lindemann, Phys. Z **11**, 609 (1910).
- ²² H. W. Sheng, K. Lu, and E. Ma, Nanostructured Materials **10**, 865 (1998).
- ²³ A. C. Lawson, B. Martinez, J. A. Roberts, B. I. Bennett, and J. W. Richardson, Jr, Phil. Mag. B **80**, 53 (2000).
- ²⁴ D. C. Wallace, Proc. R. Soc. A **433**, 631 (1991).
- ²⁵ J. Callaway, *Quantum Theory of the Solid State*, 2nd Edition, Academic Press, (1991).
- ²⁶ A. L. Mackay, Acta Cryst **15**, 916 (1962).
- ²⁷ R. W. Cahn, Nature **323**, 668 (1986).
- ²⁸ F. Ercolessi, W. Andreoni, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. Lett **66**, 911 (1991).
- ²⁹ F. Vines, J. Carrasco, and S. T. Bromley, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 195425 (2012).
- ³⁰ A. P. Chernyshev, Eur. Phys. J. B **79**, 321 (2011).
- ³¹ M. Blackman and A. E. Curzon, *Structure and Properties of Thin Films*, Wiley, New York, (1959).
- ³² H. Frase, B. Fultz, and J. L. Robertson, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 898 (1998).

- ³³ S. R. Calvo and P. B. Balbuena, Surf. Sci **581**, 213 (2005).
- ³⁴ M. Schmidt, R. Kusche, B. V. Issendorff, and H. Haberland, Nature **393**, 238 (1998).
- ³⁵ T. X. Li, S. Y. Yin, Y. L. Ji, B. L. Wang, G. H. Wang, and J. J. Zhao, Phys. Lett. A **267**, 403 (2000).
- ³⁶ C. Y. Tang, Y. M. Sung, and J. Lee, Appl. Phys. Lett **100**, 201903 (2012).
- ³⁷ C. Radloff and N. J. Halas, Appl. Phys. Lett **79**, 674 (2001).
- ³⁸ T. L. Hill, *Thermodynamics of Small systems*, volume I, W. A. Benjamin, (1964). reprinted by Dover, (1994).
- ³⁹ W. Y. Hu, S. F. Xiao, J. Y. Yang, and Z. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. B **45**, 547 (2005).
- ⁴⁰ M. A. Strosio and M. Dutta, *Phonons in Nanostructures*, Cambridge University Press, (2001).
- ⁴¹ F. G. Shi, J. Mater. Res **9**, 1307 (1994).
- ⁴² M. Zhao, X. H. Zhou, and Q. Jiang, J. Mater. Res **16**, 3304 (2001).